

Electromethanogenesis pilot

Geoffrey Karakachian, ENGIE lab CRIGEN

Thessaloniki

20/06/2024



Co-funded by the European Union



Demo Site in France

Demonstration site: EVRON , MAYENNE

Feedstock: 30 000t/y (70% agro-industrial residues +30% agricultural residues) Type : Continuously Stirred Tank Reactor // Mesophilic // Upgrading via membrane The unit injected its first m³ of biomethane in November 2021 Several solid and liquid feed lanes, adapted to the type of input.

- Main numbers:
- 21 GWh /y of biomethane production
- Up to 220Nm³/h injected into NG grid
- **9 000 m³** digestion volume (HRT> 50 d) :
 - 2 Main digesters of 4 500 m³
- **21,000 m³** of liquid digestate storage
- 1 800 m³ of solid digestate storage
 - Valorization of digestate by land spreading
- (**3 000 ha**, **21** farms).







Technology description





- Electromethanogenesis is at the **frontier between electrolysis** (H₂ production in-situ) **and biological methanation** (H₂ + CO₂ conversion into CH₄).
- The technology relies on the use of **electrodes inserted into digestate or given medium**. Under voltage, the micro-organism activity within the biofilm attached to the electrodes is boosted and leads to higher biogas production and/or quality.
- Fine tuning of electrochemistry and biochemistry favorize the production of CH₄.
- Technology aiming at increasing the biomethane production of AD unit and gas quality.





Ambition and progress beyond the state of the art

<u>Ambition</u>: Increase biomethane production on the AD unit using the effluent digestate, biogas of the main digestor and external green electricity from solar and wind.

TRL Objective : $4 \rightarrow 6/7$



Single chamber reactor (1c-AD-BES)

- Electrodes directly immerged in the digestate.
- **Planned pilot is a reactor of 1 m³** reactor working at the same mesophilic temperature of the main AD plant.
- **Electrical power source < 2 V** driven by a renewable energy mix from local wind and photovoltaic electricity generators.
- Enhancement of the bioelectrode geometry and electron transfer properties by prior surface treatment
- Coupling the 1c-AD-BES downstream the main digester, the aim is to have a surplus production of 100 L-CH₄/m³/d to the already existing production.

Double chamber reactor (2c-AD-BES)

- Electrodes separated by a membrane, water oxidation (anodic part) and CO₂ (–biogas) reduction (cathodic part).
- Planned pilot is a reactor of 1 m³
- Injection of **biogas (from the main digestor first and then from 1c-AD-BES reactor**), enabling an efficient power-to-gas process in a H₂O/CO₂ electrosynthesis cell
- 2c-AD-BES is an upgrading step towards maximum biomethane purity output. At lab scale, the current two-chamber system can produce 200-1000 L CH₄ per day per m3 reactor volume



Electrical power (electrodes) 3-160 W (0.072-3.84 kWh/d)



Challenges

Performances challenges :

Previous lab experiences showed that **two main parameters contribute to increase biogas/biomethane production in AD-BES:**

- Available surface for biofilm growth, due to electrodes presence
- Application of an optimal voltage for the stimulation of electro-active microbes.
 - > Labscale trials (2023) : (LEITAT-DTU-FAU)
 - Pretreatment of electrode materials → maximizing the bioelectrochemical performances
 - 2. Pretreatment of the substrate (AD digestate) \rightarrow facilitating the substrate degradation

Operational challenges :

- Feeding conditions at upscaled level : continuous feeding investigation
- Inoculation of anode and cathode with proper electro-active biofilms
 - Pre-pilot testing <u>at 10/15 L scale (2023-2024)</u> (LEITAT-DTU)

Safety challenges :

- Safe usage of the pilot on an operational demo-site
 - > SAFETY Studies (ENGIE-AERIS) :
 - > HAZID study (Sept. 2023) :
 - Hazard identification considering the pilot in its environment
 - > ATEX study (2023) :

Identification of the ATEX zoning of the pilot and setting-up of mitigation measure \rightarrow input for the future pilot localisation

> HAZOP study (Jan. 2024) :

Operational hazard identificaton on the pilot usage





Digestate characterization and pretreatment



Sieving

Figure 1 – Sieved post-digestate with 2 mm mesh (Source: Leitat)



Figure 2 – Grinded post-digestate for 1 min at 1500 rpm (Source: Leitat)

Conclusions : Solid part in the digestate might cause operative issue → Decision to go for liquid digestate directly produced at demo site



- Sieving (gave the best results)
- Grinding

Liquid digestate produced at AD site was sent and used for characterization and labscale work.

| Parameter | Post-digester (2023) | Sieving | Liquid digestate |
|--|----------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| рН (-) | 8,17±0,04 | 8,86±0,06 | 8,39±0,01 |
| Cond. (mS/cm) | 16,51±0,16 | 13,02±0,01 | 29,2±0,08 |
| COD _{tot} (g/L) | 79,33±3,09 | 64,07±0,61 | 61,03±0,82 |
| COD _{sol} (g/L) | 45,37±0,56 | 31,67±0,31 | 33±8,31 |
| COD _{sol} /COD _{tot} (%) | 57,26% | 49,44% | 53,90% |
| $BOD_{5 tot} (g/L)$ | 10,482±1,05 | 8,546±0,23 | 5,644±0,116 |
| $BOD_{5 \text{ sol}}(g/L)$ | 2,1±0,21 | 2,21±0,15 | 2,15±0,394 |
| TN (g/L) | 6,51±0,21 | 3,62±0,17 | <mark>8,18±0,89</mark> |
| TAN (g/L) | 2,98±0,03 | 0,93±0 | 5,03±0,12 |
| Nitrates (NO ₃ - N g/L) | 0,319±0,02 | 0,288±0,039 | 0,242±0,004 |
| COD _{sol} /TN ratio (-) | 6,98±0,29 | 8,76±0,47 | 7,55±0,83 |
| $PO_{4}^{3-}-P(g/L)$ | 0,573±0,01 | 0,505±0,01 | 0,49±0,01 |
| K (g/L) | 3,52±0,03 | 3,61±0,24 | 4,13±0,12 |
| TS (g/L) | 92,1±0,6 | 99,3±1,6 | 69,8±0,4 |
| VS (g/L) | 64,6±0,7 | 66,4±1,1 | 47,1±3,3 |
| Alkalinity (mg/L) | 4,436±0,255 | 5,248±0,056 | 4,569±0,086 |
| FOS/TAC | 0,249±0,02 | 0,479±0,01 | 0,192±0 |
| Viscosity (Pa s) | 8,39±0,63 | 3,89±0,64 | 0,84±0,07 |





Lab-scale trials on EMG for 1c AD-BES



 \rightarrow No electrode pretreatment will be applied for the pilot



Reactor engineering, pre-pilot scale (M4-M27)

Reactors:

- AD useful volume: 10 L
- AD-BES useful volume: 9,57 L

Electrodes:

- 3 cathodes and 2 anodes → ratio CAT/AN 3
- S/V= 13 m²/m³

| Parameters | Periodicity | |
|---|----------------|--|
| pH, electrode potentials | Twice per week | |
| Temperature, voltage, current density | Continuous | |
| Gas production rate | Once per day | |
| Gas composition, COD, FOS/TAC, TS, VS, NH ₄ + | Once per week | |
| Organic acid profile | Once per phase | |



Plan of experiments:

- 8 weeks inoculation + 4 weeks operation
- 4 weeks of voltage testing
- 4 weeks of intermittent voltage testing
- 12 weeks of HRT testing
- XX weeks of organic overloading testing







Double chamber reactor (2c-ADBES) : voltage optimization



the European Union

Double chamber reactor (2c-ADBES) : pre-pilot system preparation



Feasibility verification pre-pilot using 20 L reactor, Feed **pure CO₂** with different feed speeds, Voltage: 4V

- Pre-pilot reactor worked with anaerobic granular sludge, higher than 70% CO2 was converted to CH4, with a feed speed of 151 L/m³_{reactor}/day at 4 V. <u>Next steps:</u>
- ➢ feed with biogas,
- optimize working parameters
- increase feedrate (target at 1000 L/(m³*d)

CFD simulations - 1c-AD-BES prepilot

CFD Simulations

- Flow pattern
- Mixing in the reactor
- Microscale of turbulence (Kolmogorov length), a parameter that allows estimation of possible damage to particulate components
- Turbulent kinetic energy
- Power input into the reactor

Simulation results will be provide information about

- Load variables (shear stress)
- Residence time distribution
- Bubble size distribution (gas-liquid systems)
- Bubble residence time prediction (gas-liquid systems)
- Reactions
- •

Digestate outlet tube setups and configurations

Steady state simulations Turbulence model: k-epsilon model Rotational speed: 300 rpm Material data of water but with a higher viscosity of 840

1.20

-0.96

0.72

0.48

-0.24

Velocity m s⁻¹

AD

AD-BES

Co-funded by the European Union

mPas

Electrodes

Digestate

outlet tube

Digestate

Electrodes

Stirrer

CFD simulations - 1c-AD-BES pilot

Comparison of operation with and without recirculation

With recirculation

Location of the plane

Without recirculation

Initial Business perspective

From first assessment of the technology with labscale data, a +40% CH₄ production using EMG could lead to LCOE reduction of about 18%

- Policy : discussion ongoing with (Direction Regional de l'Environnement et de l'Ammenagement et du Logement) : regulatory body in France.
 - Actions: realizing a legal document called (*Porté à connaissance*) → public document aiming at showing the full safety of a given installation (in here the EMG pilot) for people and goods. → integration of safety study oucomes (HAZID/HAZOP)
 - This action will help EMG to be recognized by as an innovative technology by regional decision makers (mayors, préfets...) and could favorize technology acceptation and development

Methodological analysis of the pilot :

- Costs (OPEX & CAPEX)
- > Operation (safety, usage, digestate quality)
- > Performances (biogas & biomethane production)
 - > Pre-pilot and full-pilot scale for challenging the first economical perspectives
- Mass and energy balance
- Bill of material

Data provision all along the project for :

- **WP3 Assessment and Optimisation** : assessment of the demonstrators as built within the project, and of their potential optimised and upscaled configurations
- **WP4 Replicability :** assessment of the replicability potential of technology pathways adopted and tested by demonstrators.

Towards market penetration and stakeholder acceptation

#Biomethaverse

Thank you!

Follow Biomethaverse:

www.biomethaverse.eu @European_Biogas @European Biogas Association

Contact: Geoffrey Karakachian Email: geoffrey.karakachain@engie.com

Co-funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or CINEA. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.